

**Response to Rule 312  
Communication**

Application No.  
**09/205,518**

Applicant(s)  
**Koenck**

Examiner  
**K. Shin**

Group Art Unit  
**2838**



☐ The petition filed on \_\_\_\_\_ under 37 CFR 1.312(b) is granted. The paper has been forwarded to the examiner for consideration on the merits.

☒ The amendment filed on Apr 15, 1999 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

☒ entered.

☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention (Order 3311).

☐ disapproved. See explanation below.

☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

**Peter S. Wong**  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2800

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/205,518

Applicant(s)  
Koenck

Examiner  
K. Shin

Group Art Unit  
2838



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) K. Shin (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Christopher Winslade #36,308 (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: Jan 25, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-26

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

It was agreed that a Terminal Disclaimer would be filed to obviate an obvious or non-obviousness type double patenting rejections based on U.S. Patent No. 4,455,523.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.